

**Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) Inorganic Byproducts  
Negotiated Rulemaking Committee  
Substantive Workgroup Conference Call – July 25, 2-4:30p ET  
(As of 7/25/17)**

**Workgroup Participants**

- Jim Cooper
- John Gilkeson
- Doug Green
- Amy Kyle
- David Lennett
- Susan Sharkey
- Mark Smith
- Kathleen Roberts
- Facilitators – Chris Moore and Laura Sneeringer

**Agenda**

- Review mandate and scope for Substantive Workgroup discussions
- Discussion on EPA’s “simplifying reporting” idea
- Updates and discussion on previous ideas
- Review next steps

**Action Items**

- All – Send written comments, questions, and/or refined ideas on Kathleen, Doug and Susan’s proposals so they can address them in future iterations. Do your best to send by **COB Tues, 8/1** so they have time to consider and incorporate new ideas before sending materials to the full Committee (likely on 8/8).
- Susan Sharkey – Share input from risk assessment staff on Part 3 data needed for effective risk screening and exposure assessments.
- Susan Sharkey – follow up with Doug on question related to RCRA.
- Jim Cooper - Share a preliminary concept by 7/28/17 for the “exempt site-specific recycling” idea
- Leads prepare for future Workgroup calls (see schedule and topics below).

**Conference Call Schedule and Topics**

August 7<sup>th</sup> – 11:00 – 1:00 EDT – call will be cancelled if below information is not ready to be discussed.

- Review Jim Cooper’s proposal (see above)
- Discuss input from EPA risk assessment team
- Review any changes made to proposals

**Mandate and Scope of Substantive Workgroup**

The mandate for the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee is focused on manufacturers of inorganic byproducts that are recycled, reprocessed, or reused. This includes CDR reporters who are processing in order to manufacture another substance for which they already report, but not those who are not manufacturing reportable substances. The Committee must focus on data elements related to this narrow subset of chemical substances, as opposed to taking a broader CDR review of what information is needed and where to get it. This more limited approach will minimize impacts to other sections of CDR and is achievable within the Committee’s time constraints. The Committee is expected to finalize recommendations during the October meeting. OMB recently reiterated the importance of adhering to the proposed schedule to ensure there is enough time for OMB’s review of the proposed and final rules, and including meeting the statutory deadlines and allowing implementation before the next round of CDR reporting.

The Substantive Workgroup's mandate is to examine initial ideas and options identified by the full Committee; clarify, raise and answer questions about them; and develop refined concepts that will be shared with the full Committee. The Substantive Workgroup is not required to come to consensus on ideas or options and it does not have the authority to prevent ideas raised by Committee or Workgroup Members from being shared with the full Committee.

## **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

### Discussion on Simplifying Report Idea

## **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

# **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**